

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

10

11

12 IN RE JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.)
13 SECURITIES LITIGATION)
14)
15 _____)

Case No.: C 06-4327 JW (PVT)

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT ERNST &
YOUNG LLP'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE
ORDER WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO LEAD
PLAINTIFF MOVING FOR RELIEF FROM
THE DISCOVERY CUTOFF**

16

17 On March 1, 2010, Defendant Ernst & Young LLP ("E&Y") filed a motion for protective
18 order. The court granted a stipulated request to have the matter heard on shortened time. Lead
19 Plaintiff has now opposed the motion and E&Y has filed its reply. Having reviewed the papers
20 submitted by the parties, the court finds it appropriate to issue this order without oral argument.
21 Based on the moving, opposition and reply papers submitted,


22

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant E&Y's motion is GRANTED. Discovery in this
case is closed, and Lead Plaintiff has not obtained relief from the discovery cutoff to take the subject
depositions. By order dated December 18, 2009, this court granted Lead Plaintiff's prior request for
leave to take additional depositions (the "December 18 Order"). However, that order did not extend
the discovery cutoff. The deadline for taking the additional depositions was governed by the
Stipulation and Order Allowing Depositions to Proceed after the December 1, 2009 Discovery
Cutoff, as Modified by the Court (docket no. 474). That stipulation and order effectively set the last
day for taking depositions as January 31, 2010, and Lead Plaintiff has not sought any further relief

1 from the discovery cutoff.

2 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this order is without prejudice to Lead Plaintiff noticing a
3 motion for relief from the discovery cutoff on District Judge Ware's calendar.

4 Dated: 3/8/10

5 
6 PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL
United States Magistrate Judge

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28